# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/753,105	01/07/2004	Kevin Buckley	BUCKEV.001A	2766		
2099S 7590 05/25/2007 KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP			INER			
2040 MAIN STREET			BARRETT, SUZANNE LALE DINO			
FOURTEENTH FLOOR IRVINE, CA 92614		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
•				3676		
	•					
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			05/25/2007	ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com eOAPilot@kmob.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/753,105	BUCKLEY, KEVIN		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Suzanne Dino Barrett	3676		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	he correspondence address		
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply to will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS, cause the application to become ABAND.	TION.  De timely filed  from the mailing date of this communication.  ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status			•		
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 M.  This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This  Since this application is in condition for allowar  closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.	•		
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 13-18 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 13-18 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.			
Application Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The specific and the s	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ion is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachmen	t(s)	•			
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	il Date		

Art Unit: 3676

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103


- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 13-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the 892-cited NPL "U"- Fix-it manual for pop up sprinkler repair in view of Cline 7,043,946.

http://books.google.com/books?vid=ISBN0895778718&id=MvtUgEZ2rnsC&pg=RA22-PA389&lpg=RA22-

PA389&ots=h PKYKTCyR&dq=pop+up++Sprinkler+repair&sig=AP8zExngD338yFiQey
4f9KCAuEU

Application/Control Number: 10/753,105

Art Unit: 3676



The method limitations set forth in claims 13-18 are clearly taught by the method steps of repairing a sprinkler head which requires removing the cap and internal mechanisms, then replacing the sprinkler head in the ground when finished. It is noted that the repair steps taught by the prior art read on the claimed method except for the newly added step of "placing a key in the cavity so as to conceal the key from view". Cline clearly teaches taking an appliance type item (water heater 140), removing the cap (cover 120), and removing the internal mechanisms (water heater elements) to provide a cavity for storing or concealing items of value. Therefore, it would have been

Application/Control Number: 10/753,105

Art Unit: 3676

obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, in view of the method steps taught by Reference "U" and Cline '946, to place a key in the cavity of a sprinkler (appliance) to conceal or store the key.

Page 4

### Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 13-18 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. In view of the amendment to claim 13, adding the method step of placing a key in the cavity of the sprinkler device, new grounds of rejection are presented under 35 USC 103(a) in view of the previously applied Reference "U" which teaches the all of the claimed method steps of removing the sprinkler cap and internal mechanisms thereby creating a cavity, and further in view of the previously cited Cline patent '946 which clearly teaches taking a known appliance or household mechanical device, such as a water heater (or sprinkler head), removing the cover, removing the internal mechanisms to create a cavity, then placing valuable items therein to store or conceal the items. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the lock art, where it is well known to use animate or inanimate objects to conceal or store keys (such as the well known dummy rock, beehive, dirt, wall cavities, water heater, etc), to place a key within a hollowed-out household appliance such as a sprinkler. Accordingly, claims 1-18 stand rejected.

#### Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Suzanne Dino Barrett whose telephone number is 571-272-7053. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 8:30-7:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Glessner can be reached on 571-272-6843. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3676

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Suzanne Dino Barrett Primary Examiner Art Unit 3676

sdb